

On the regularity criteria of weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations in Lorentz space

Baoquan Yuan

School of Mathematics and Informatics,
Henan Polytechnic University, Henan, 454000, China.
(bqyuan@hpu.edu.cn)

Abstract

In this paper the regularity of weak solutions and the blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the micropolar fluid equations on three dimension space are studied in the Lorentz space $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We obtain that if $u \in L^q(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1$ with $3 < p \leq \infty$; or $\nabla u \in L^q(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$; or the pressure $P \in L^q(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$; or $\nabla P \in L^q(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 3$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$, then the weak solution (u, ω) satisfying the energy inequality is a smooth solution on $[0, T)$.

AMS Subject Classification 2000: 35Q35, 76W05, 35B65.

Key words: Micropolar fluid equations, regularity of weak solutions, Lorentz spaces.

1 Introduction

This paper concerns about the regularity of weak solutions and blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the micropolar fluid equations in three dimensions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - (\mu + \chi)\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P - \chi \nabla \times \omega = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} - \gamma \Delta \omega - \kappa \nabla \operatorname{div} \omega + 2\chi \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega - \chi \nabla \times u = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x), u_3(t, x))$ denotes the velocity of the fluids at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, T)$, $\omega = (\omega_1(t, x), \omega_2(t, x), \omega_3(t, x))$ and $P = P(t, x)$ denote, respectively, the micro-rotational velocity and the hydrostatic pressure. u_0, ω_0 are the prescribed initial data for the velocity and angular velocity with properties $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$. μ is the kinematic viscosity, χ is the vortex viscosity, κ and γ are spin viscosities. Theory of micropolar fluids was first proposed by Eringen [8] in 1966, which enables us to consider some physical phenomena that cannot be treated by the classical Navier-Stokes equations for the viscous incompressible fluids, for example, the motion of animal blood, liquid crystals and dilute aqueous polymer solutions etc. The existences of weak and strong solutions were treated by Galdi and Rionero [10], and Yamaguchi [30], respectively. If, further, the vortex viscosity $\chi = 0$, the velocity u does not depend on the micro-rotation field ω , and the first equation reduces to the classical Navier-Stokes equation which has been greatly analyzed, see, for example, the classical books by Ladyzhenskaya [16], Lions [19] or Lemarié-Rieusset [18].

There is a large number of literature on the mathematical theory of micropolar fluid equations (1.1) (see, for example, [17, 30, 10, 9, 7, 29, 4, 5]). The existence and uniqueness of global solutions

were extensively studied by Lange [17], Galdi and Rionero [10], Yamaguchi [30]. Recently, Ferreira and Villamizar-Roa [9] considered the existence and stability of solutions to the micropolar fluids in exterior domains. Villamizar-Roa and Rodríguez-Bellido [29] studied the micropolar system in a bounded domain using the semigroup approach in L^p , showing the global existence of strong solutions for small data and the asymptotic behavior and stability of the solutions. Concerning the dynamic behavior of solutions to equations (1.1) one may refer to the references [4, 5, 7] and references therein.

The purpose of this paper is to study the regularity of weak solutions and the breakdown criteria of smooth solutions to the micropolar fluid equations (1.1). The classical blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations also hold for the micropolar fluid equations. For the Navier-Stokes equations, Serrin [25], prodi [23] and Veiga [28] established the classic Serrin-type regularity criteria of weak solutions in terms of u or its gradient ∇u . Later, many improvements and extensions were established, for example, see [15, 13, 14, 32] and references therein. Berselli and Galdi [2], Chae and Lee [3] obtained the regularity criteria of weak solutions in terms of the pressure P or its gradient ∇P . Later, Zhou Y improved it in terms of the pressure and its gradient in a general domain [33], and Zhou Y [34, 35], Struwe [27] obtained the regularity criteria of weak solutions in terms of the gradient of pressure.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a Leray-Hopf weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, P is the pressure. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) $u \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 1$ with $n < p \leq \infty$;
- (2) $\nabla u \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \infty$;
- (3) $P \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \infty$;
- (4) $\nabla P \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 3$ with $\frac{n}{3} < p < \infty$.

Then u is a smooth solution on $[0, T)$.

Similar to the Serrin type regularity criteria, Yuan [31] established the regularity criteria of weak solutions to magneto-micropolar equations, which is the micropolar equations (1.1) coupled with magnetic field b , as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(u_0, \omega_0, b_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = \operatorname{div} b_0 = 0$. Assume that (u, ω, b) is a Leray-Hopf type weak solution to the magneto-micropolar equations. If one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $u \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1$ with $3 < p \leq \infty$;
- (2) $\nabla u \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$.

Then (u, ω, b) is a smooth solution on $[0, T)$ with the initial value (u_0, ω_0, b_0) .

It is worthy to note that the regularity conditions of weak solutions to magneto-micropolar equations are only imposed on the velocity field u , which is very important. For the magneto-hydrodynamic equations, He and Xin [12] first studied and established the regularity criteria only imposed on the velocity field u or its gradient ∇u . Later, Zhou Y [36] improved the regularity criteria imposed only on u or its gradient ∇u ; and He-Wang [11] improved it to the weak L^p spaces imposed only on u or its gradient ∇u ; and Chen-Miao-Zhang [6] also improved it to the more general Besov-type space on Littlewood-Paley decomposition imposed only on $\nabla \times u$. The regularity criteria of weak solutions to the system (1.1) play a important role to understanding the physical essence of the micropolar fluid motion. The aim of this paper is to prove that to secure the regularity of weak solutions to (1.1), one only needs to impose conditions on the velocity field u or its gradient ∇u or the pressure of the fluids in the Lorentz spaces. In details, one only need one of the following conditions to prove the regularity of weak solutions (u, ω) on $[0, T]$.

- (1) $u \in L^q(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1$ with $3 < p \leq \infty$;

(2) $\nabla u \in L^q(0, T; L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$;

(3) $P \in L^q(0, T; L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$;

(4) $\nabla P \in L^q(0, T; L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 3$ with $1 < p < \infty$.

This demonstrates that, in the regularity of weak solutions, the micro-rotational velocity ω of particles play less important role than the velocity u does, and the regularity of weak solutions to (1.1) is dominated by the velocity u of the fluids.

We conclude this introduction by describing the plan of the paper. We give our main results of the blow-up criteria for a smooth solution to (1.1) and as applications we prove the regularity of weak solutions in section 2. Section 3 devoted to prove Theorem 2.1 and 2.2, respectively.

2 Main results

Before stating our main results we introduce some function spaces, notations and generalized Hölder inequality. Let $C_{0, \sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denote the set of all C^∞ vector functions $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x))$ with compact support such that $\operatorname{div} f(x) = 0$. $L_\sigma^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the closure of $C_{0, \sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -function with respect to the L^r -norm $\|\cdot\|_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. $H_\sigma^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the closure of $C_{0, \sigma}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the H^s -norm $\|f\|_{H^s} = \|(1 - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_2$, for $s \geq 0$.

In the following part we recall Lorentz spaces. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a non-atomic measurable space. For the complex-valued or real-valued, μ -measurable function $f(x)$ defined on X , its distribution function is defined by

$$f_*(\sigma) = \mu\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \sigma\}, \text{ for } \sigma > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

which is non-increasing and continuous from the right. Furthermore, its non-increasing rearrangement f^* is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : f_*(s) \leq t\}, \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

which is also non-increasing and continuous from the right, and has the same distribution function as $f(x)$.

The Lorentz space $L^{p, q}$ on $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is the collection of all the real-valued or complex-valued, μ -measurable function $f(x)$ defined on X such that $\|f\|_{p, q} < \infty$ with

$$\|f\|_{p, q} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty (t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, 1 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t), & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

If $q = \infty$ write $L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is the weak L^p space. Moreover

$$\|f\|_{p, \infty} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) = \sup_{\alpha>0} \alpha (f_*(\alpha))^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.4)$$

for any $f(x) \in L^{p, \infty}$. For details see [20, 21] and [26].

We also need the Hölder inequality in Lorentz spaces which we recall as follows, for details see O'Neil [22].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 < p_1, p_2, r < \infty$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} < 1, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2},$$

and $1 \leq q_1, q_2, s \leq \infty$ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \geq \frac{1}{s}.$$

If $f \in L^{p_1, q_1}$ and $g \in L^{p_2, q_2}$, then $fg \in L^{r, s}$, and the generalized Hölder inequality

$$\|h\|_{r, s} \leq r' \|f\|_{p_1, q_1} \|g\|_{p_2, q_2} \quad (2.5)$$

holds, where r' stands for the dual to r , i.e. $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$.

To this end, we state the main results as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Let $u_0(x) \in H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\omega_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume that $u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C((0, T); H_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\omega(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C((0, T); H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is a smooth solution to the equations (1.1). If u satisfies one of the following conditions

- (a) $u(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1$ with $3 < p \leq \infty$;
- (b) $\nabla u(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$.

Then the solution (u, ω) can be extended smoothly to $[0, T']$ for some $T' > T$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $u_0(x) \in L_\sigma^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\omega_0(x) \in L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume that $u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; L_\sigma^4(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C((0, T); H_\sigma^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\omega(t, x) \in C([0, T]; L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C((0, T); H^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is a smooth solution to the equations (1.1), and P is the pressure. If P satisfies the condition

- (1) $P(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$;
- Or the gradient of the pressure ∇P satisfies the condition
- (2) $\nabla P(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 3$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$.

Then the solution (u, ω) can be extended smoothly beyond $t = T$.

We next consider the criteria of regularity of weak solutions to the micropolar equations (1.1), for this purpose we first introduce the definition of a weak solution.

Definition 2.1. Let $u_0(x) \in L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\omega_0(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. A measurable function $(u(t, x), \omega(t, x))$ is called a weak solution to the micropolar equations (1.1) on $[0, T]$, if

(a)

$$u(t, x) \in L^\infty([0, T]; L_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

and

$$\omega(t, x) \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3));$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \{-(u, \partial_\tau \varphi) + (\mu + \chi)(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) - (u \cdot \nabla \varphi, u) + \chi(\nabla \times \varphi, \omega)\} d\tau \\ &= (u_0, \varphi(0)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \{-(\omega, \partial_\tau \phi) + \gamma(\nabla \omega, \nabla \phi) + \kappa(\operatorname{div} \omega, \operatorname{div} \phi) + 2\chi(\omega, \phi) - (u \cdot \nabla \phi, \omega) + \chi(\nabla \times \phi, u)\} d\tau \\ &= (\omega_0, \phi(0)), \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi(t, x) \in H^1([0, T]; H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\phi(t, x) \in H^1([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $\varphi(T) = 0$ and $\phi(T) = 0$.

In the reference [24], Rojas-Medar and Boldrini proved the global existence of weak solutions to the equations of the magneto-micropolar fluid motion by the Galerkin method. The weak solutions (u, ω) also satisfy the energy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (u, \omega) \|_2^2 + 2\mu \int_0^t \| \nabla u \|_2^2 ds + 2\gamma \int_0^t \| \nabla \omega \|_2^2 ds \\ & + 2\kappa \int_0^t \| \operatorname{div} \omega \|_2^2 ds + 2\chi \int_0^t \| \omega \|_2^2 ds \leq \| (u(0), \omega(0)) \|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for $0 < t \leq T$.

As immediate corollaries we establish the regularity criteria of weak solutions.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $u_0(x) \in H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\omega_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume that $(u(t, x), \omega(t, x))$ is a weak solution to the equations (1.1) and satisfies the energy inequality (2.6). If u satisfies one of the following conditions*

- (a) $u(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1$ with $3 < p \leq \infty$;
- (b) $\nabla u(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$.

Then the solution (u, ω) is a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $u_0(x) \in L_\sigma^2 \cap L_\sigma^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\omega_0(x) \in L^2 \cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assume that $(u(t, x), \omega(t, x))$ is a weak solution to the equations (1.1) and satisfies the energy inequality (2.6), and P is the pressure. If P satisfies the condition*

- (1) $P(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$;
- Or the gradient of the pressure ∇P satisfies the condition
- (2) $\nabla P(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 3$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$.

Then the solution (u, ω) is a regular solution on $(0, T]$.

Remark 2.1. *In Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, if $p = \infty$, then the space $L^{\infty, \infty}$ is identical with L^∞ .*

Remark 2.2. *In this paper the regularity Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 are established for the solution of the micropolar equations (1.1). By the coupling of velocity field and magnetic field, the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 are also valid in the magneto-micropolar equations.*

Remark 2.3. *For the magneto-hydrodynamic equations, He-Wang [11] proved that to assure the regularity of weak solution one only need the condition*

$$\nabla u \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (2.7)$$

for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 2$ with $1 < q \leq 2$. In our results Theorem 2.1 (b) and Corollary 2.2 (b) $1 \leq q < \infty$ is more general than that in (2.7). Moreover, our proof which is based on the a priori estimate of the H^1 -norm of solution is simple, while it was based on the a priori estimate of the L^p -norm for $p \geq 3$.

The proofs of Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 are standard. For the completeness we sketch out the proof of Corollary 2.1 only. Since $u_0(x) \in H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\omega_0(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by the local existence theorem of strong solution to the micropolar equations (1.1), there exists a unique solution $(\hat{u}, \hat{\omega})$ satisfying that $\hat{u}(t, x) \in C([0, T^*]; H_\sigma^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\hat{\omega}(t, x) \in C([0, T^*]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ on a small time interval $[0, T^*)$. Since (u, ω) is a weak solution satisfying the energy inequality (2.6), it follows from the Serrin type uniqueness criterion [25] that $(u(t), \omega(t)) \equiv (\hat{u}(t), \hat{\omega}(t))$ on $[0, T^*)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $T = T^*$. If not, suppose that $T^* < T$. Without loss of generality, one may assume that T^* is the maximal existence time of the strong solution $(\hat{u}, \hat{\omega})$. By the conditions (a) or (b) in Corollary 2.1, we have

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\hat{u}(t)\|_{p, \infty}^q dt < \infty, \text{ for } \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1 \text{ with } 3 < p \leq \infty,$$

or

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla \hat{u}(t)\|_{p, \infty}^q dt < \infty, \text{ for } \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2 \text{ with } \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$$

because of $(\hat{u}(t), \hat{\omega}(t)) \equiv ((u(t), \omega(t)))$. Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a time $T' > T^*$ such that $(\hat{u}, \hat{\omega})$ can be extended smoothly to $[0, T')$, which contracts to the maximality of T^* . We thus complete the proof of Corollary 2.1.

In the following arguments the letter C denotes inessential constants which may vary from line to line, but does not depend on particular solutions or functions. We also use $C(\chi, \gamma, \dots)$ to denote a constant which depends on the parameters $\chi, \gamma, \dots$ and may vary from line to line.

3 Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 by a simple method.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: We differentiate the equations (1.1) with respect to x_i , then multiply the resulting equations by $\partial_{x_i} u$, $\partial_{x_i} \omega$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, respectively, integrate with respect to x and sum them up. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|(\partial_{x_i} u, \partial_{x_i} \omega)\|_2^2) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left((\mu + \chi) \|\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 \omega\|_2^2 \right) + \kappa \|\operatorname{div} \partial_{x_i} \omega\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\partial_{x_i} \omega\|_2^2 \\ & \leq |(\partial_{x_i} u \cdot \nabla u, \partial_{x_i} u)| + |(\partial_{x_i} u \cdot \nabla \omega, \partial_{x_i} \omega)| + 2\chi |(\nabla \times \partial_{x_i} u, \partial_{x_i} \omega)| \\ & = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where we have used the facts that

$$(\nabla \times \partial_{x_i} u, \partial_{x_i} \omega) = (\nabla \times \partial_{x_i} \omega, \partial_{x_i} u) \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$(u \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_i} u, \partial_{x_i} u) = (u \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_i} \omega, \partial_{x_i} \omega) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the L^2 inner product on $\mathbb{R}^3$. For conciseness, the short notation

$$\|(A, B)\|_2^2 = \|A\|_2^2 + \|B\|_2^2 \quad (3.4)$$

has been used and will be used in the following parts.

(a) We estimate the term I_1 , I_2 and I_3 respectively. By integrations by parts and the generalized Hölder inequality (2.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} u \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_i} u \cdot u(x) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_i} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq C(p) \|u\|_{p, \infty} \left(\|\nabla \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} u\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}, 1} + \|\partial_{x_i x_i} u \partial_{x_i} u\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}, 1} \right) \\ & \leq C(p) \|u\|_{p, \infty} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}, 2} \|D^2 u\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Applying the real interpolation (see [1])

$$L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (L^2, L^6)_{\frac{p-3}{p}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and the Sobolev embedding $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ it yields that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}, 2} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 u\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Inserting the above estimate (3.6) into the estimate (3.5) of I_1 one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq C(p) \|u\|_{p, \infty} \|\nabla u\|_2^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 u\|_2^{1+\frac{3}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\chi}{12} \|D^2 u\|_2^2 + C(p, \chi) \|u\|_{p, \infty}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} \|\nabla u\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Similarly, for I_2 one also has

$$I_2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{6} \|D^2 \omega\|_2^2 + C(p, \gamma) \|u\|_{p, \infty}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} \|\nabla \omega\|_2^2. \quad (3.8)$$

For the term I_3 , Hölder and Young inequalities imply that

$$I_3 \leq \frac{\chi}{2} \|\nabla \times \partial_{x_i} u\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \omega\|_2^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Inserting the estimates (3.7)-(3.9) into the inequality (3.1) and summing up i from 1 to 3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|(\nabla u, \nabla \omega)\|_2^2) + (2\mu + \frac{1}{2}\chi) \|D^2 u\|_2^2 + \gamma \|D^2 \omega\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla \operatorname{div} \omega\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C(p, \chi, \gamma) \|u\|_{p, \infty}^{2p/(p-3)} \|(\nabla u, \nabla \omega)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Gronwall inequality leads to the a priori estimate

$$\|(\nabla u, \nabla \omega)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla u_0, \nabla \omega_0)\|_2^2 \exp \left\{ C(p, \chi, \gamma) \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{p, \infty}^{2p/(p-3)} ds \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

In the case (b), we estimate I_1 - I_2 in another way. Using the generalized Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{p, \infty} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{p}{p-1}, 1} \leq C(p) \|\nabla u\|_{p, \infty} \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2p}{p-1}, 2}^2 \\ & \leq C(p) \|\nabla u\|_{p, \infty} \|\nabla u\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 u\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \\ & \leq \frac{\chi}{12} \|D^2 u\|_2^2 + C(p, \chi) \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2p}{p-3}, \infty}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} \|\nabla u\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where use has been made of the facts

$$L^{\frac{2p}{p-1}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (L^2, L^6)_{\frac{2p-3}{2p}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}, 2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 u\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}.$$

Arguing similarly, I_2 can also be estimated as follows

$$I_2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{6} \|D^2 \omega\|_2^2 + C(p, \gamma) \|\nabla u\|_{\frac{2p}{p-3}, \infty}^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} \|\nabla \omega\|_2^2. \quad (3.12)$$

Inserting the estimates (3.11)-(3.12) and (3.9) into (3.1) and summing up i from 1 to 3, and applying Gronwall inequality, it reaches the a priori estimate

$$\|(\nabla u, \nabla \omega)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla u_0, \nabla \omega_0)\|_2^2 \exp \left\{ C(p, \chi, \gamma) \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{p, \infty}^{2p/(2p-3)} ds \right\}. \quad (3.13)$$

The above estimates are also valid for $p = \infty$ provided we modify them accordingly.

Combining the a priori estimates (3.10) and (3.13) with the energy inequality (2.6) and by standard arguments of continuation of local solutions, we conclude that the solutions $(u(t, x), \omega(t, x))$ can be extended beyond $t = T$ provided that $u(t, x) \in L^q(0, T; L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1$ with $3 < p \leq \infty$, or $\nabla u(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is thus completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: To prove the theorem we need the L^4 a priori estimate. For this purpose, we take the inner product of the first equation of (1.1) with $|u|^2 u$ and integrate by parts, it can be deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_4^4 + (\mu + \chi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} (\mu + \chi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |P| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx + 3\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where we used the following relations by the divergence free condition $\operatorname{div} u = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot |u|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla |u|^4 dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta u \cdot |u|^2 dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times \omega \cdot |u|^2 dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \omega \cdot \nabla \times u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega \cdot \nabla |u|^2 \times u dx,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\nabla \times u| \leq |\nabla u|, \quad |\nabla |u|| \leq |\nabla u|.$$

Using an argument similar to that used in deriving the estimate (3.14) it can be obtained for the second equation of (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_4^4 + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx + \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\operatorname{div} \omega|^2 dx + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^4 dx \\ \leq 3\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u| |\omega|^2 |\nabla \omega| dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

Combining estimates (3.14) and (3.15), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4) + (\mu + \chi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} (\mu + \chi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |u|^2|^2 dx \\ + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla |\omega|^2|^2 dx + \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\operatorname{div} \omega|^2 dx + 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^4 dx \\ \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |P| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx + 3\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w| |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx + 3\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u| |\omega|^2 |\nabla \omega| dx \\ = I + II + III.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Applying Hölder and Young's inequalities for II , it follows that

$$II \leq \frac{1}{2} \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |u|^2 dx + C(\chi) \left(\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4 \right).\tag{3.17}$$

Arguing similarly to above it can be derived for III that

$$III \leq \frac{1}{2} \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \omega|^2 |\omega|^2 dx + C(\gamma) \left(\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4 \right).\tag{3.18}$$

Concerning the term I , by virtue of the generalized Hölder inequality (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}I &\leq C(p) \| |P|^{1/2} \|_{2p, \infty} \| |P|^{1/2} |u| \|_{\frac{2p}{p-1}, 2} \| u \nabla u \|_2 \\ &\leq C(p) \| P \|_{p, \infty}^{1/2} \| u \nabla u \|_2 \| P \|_{\frac{2p}{p-1}, 2}^{1/2} \| u \|_{\frac{4p}{p-1}, 4}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the divergence operator div to the first equation of (1.1), one formally has

$$P = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 R_i R_j u_i u_j,\tag{3.19}$$

where R_j denotes the j -th Riesz operator. By the boundedness of Riesz operator on Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and applying the generalized Hölder inequality (2.5) again to obtain that

$$\|P\|_{\frac{2p}{p-1}, 2} \leq C \|u\|_{\frac{4p}{p-1}, 4}^2.\tag{3.20}$$

So the term I can be estimated as

$$I \leq C(p) \|P\|_{p,\infty}^{1/2} \|u \nabla u\|_2 \|u\|_{\frac{4p}{p-1},4}^2. \quad (3.21)$$

In view of the real interpolation

$$L^{\frac{4p}{p-1},4}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (L^4, L^{12})_{\frac{2p-3}{2p},4}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and the Sobolev inequality we have

$$\|u\|_{\frac{4p}{p-1},4}^2 \leq C \|u\|_4^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|u\|_{12}^{\frac{3}{p}} \leq C \|u\|_4^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|u \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{3}{2p}}. \quad (3.22)$$

Inserting the estimate (3.22) into the estimate (3.21) of I , one estimates I as

$$I \leq C(p) \|P\|_{p,\infty}^{1/2} \|u\|_4^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|u \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{2p+3}{2p}} \leq C(p, \chi) \|P\|_{p,\infty}^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|u\|_4^4 + \frac{1}{2} \chi \|u \nabla u\|_2^2. \quad (3.23)$$

Inserting the estimates (3.23) and (3.17)-(3.18) of I , II and III into (3.16) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4) \leq C(p, \chi) \|P\|_{p,\infty}^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|u\|_4^4 + C(\chi, \gamma) (\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4).$$

Gronwall's inequality implies that

$$\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4 \leq (\|u_0\|_4^4 + \|\omega_0\|_4^4) \exp \left\{ C(p, \chi, \gamma) \int_0^t (1 + \|P\|_{p,\infty}^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}}) ds \right\}. \quad (3.24)$$

In the case (2), we estimate I by another method. First I also equals to $I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla P \cdot u |u|^2 dx$, so

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla P|^{1/2} |\nabla P|^{1/2} |u|^3 dx \\ &\leq C \| |\nabla P|^{1/2} \|_{2p,\infty} \| |\nabla P|^{1/2} |u|^3 \|_{\frac{2p}{2p-1},1} \\ &\leq C(p) \|\nabla P\|_{p,\infty}^{1/2} \|\nabla P\|_2^{1/2} \|u\|_{\frac{12p}{3p-2},4}^3, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the generalized Hölder inequality (2.5). Noting the relation (3.19) between P and u , Riesz operator's boundedness on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$ and the real interpolation

$$L^{\frac{12p}{3p-2},4}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (L^4, L^{12})_{\frac{p-1}{p},4}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

The term I can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C(p) \|\nabla P\|_{p,\infty}^{1/2} \|u \nabla u\|_2^{1/2} \|u\|_4^{\frac{3(p-1)}{p}} \|u\|_{12}^{\frac{3}{p}} \\ &\leq C(p) \|\nabla P\|_{p,\infty}^{1/2} \|u \nabla u\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{2p}} \|u\|_4^{\frac{3(p-1)}{p}} \\ &\leq C(p, \chi) \|\nabla P\|_{p,\infty}^{\frac{2p}{3(p-1)}} \|u\|_4^4 + \frac{1}{2} \chi \|u \nabla u\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where the Sobolev embedding $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and Young's inequality were used. Inserting the estimates (3.25) and (3.17)-(3.18) of I , II and III into (3.16) one also has

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4) \leq C(p, \chi) \|\nabla P\|_{p,\infty}^{\frac{2p}{3(p-1)}} \|u\|_4^4 + C(\chi, \gamma) (\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4).$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality also to arrive at

$$\|u\|_4^4 + \|\omega\|_4^4 \leq (\|u_0\|_4^4 + \|\omega_0\|_4^4) \exp \left\{ C(p, \chi, \gamma) \int_0^t (1 + \|\nabla P\|_{p,\infty}^{\frac{2p}{3(p-1)}}) ds \right\}. \quad (3.26)$$

The above estimates are also valid for $p = \infty$ provided we modify them accordingly.

Combining the a priori estimates (3.24) and (3.26) with the energy inequality (2.6) and by standard arguments of continuation of local solutions, we conclude that the solutions $(u(t, x), \omega(t, x))$ can be extended beyond $t = T$ provided that $P(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty$, or $\nabla P(t, x) \in L^q((0, T); L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, for $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 3$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$. We thus complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgements Author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771052), Program for Science&Technology Innovation Talents in Universities of Henan Province (No. 2009HASTIT007), Doctor Fund of Henan Polytechnic University (No.B2008-62).

References

- [1] Bergh J, Löfstrom J, *Interpolation spaces, an introduction*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] Berselli L C, Galdi G P, regularity criteria involving the pressure for the weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2002, **130**(12):3585-3595.
- [3] Chae D, Lee J, Regularity criterion in terms of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 2001, **46**:727-735.
- [4] Chen J W, Dong B Q, Chen Z M, Pullback attractors of non-autonomous micropolar fluid flows, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2007, **336**:1384-1394.
- [5] Chen J W, Chen Z M, Dong B Q, Uniform attractors of non-homogeneous micropolar fluid flows in non-smooth domains, *Nonlinearity*, 2007, **20**:1619-1635.
- [6] Chen Q L, Miao C X, Zhang Z F. The Beale-Kato-Majda criteria for the 3D magneto-hydrodynamics equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 2007, **275**:861-872.
- [7] Dong B Q, Chen Z M, On upper and lower bounds of higher order derivatives for solutions to the 2D micropolar fluid equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2007, **334**:1386-1399.
- [8] Eringen A C, Theory of micropolar fluids. *J. Math. Mech.*, 1966, **16**:1-18.
- [9] Ferreira L C F, Villamizar-Roa E J. On the existence and stability of solutions for the micropolar fluids in exterior domains. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 2007, **30**:1185-1208.
- [10] Galdi G P, Rionero S, A note on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the micropolar fluid equations. *Internat. J. Engrg. Sci.*, 1997, **15**:105-108.
- [11] He C, Wang Y, On the regularity criteria for weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 2007, **238**:1-17.
- [12] He C, Xin Z P, On the regularity of weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations. *J. Diff. Eqs.*, 2005, **213**:235-254.
- [13] Kozono H, Ogawa T, Taniuchi Y, The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semi-linear evolution equations. *Math. Z.*, 2002, **242**:251-278.

- [14] Kozono H, Shimada Y, Bilinear estimate in homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and the navier-Stokes equations. *Math. Nachr.*, 2004, **276**:63-76.
- [15] H.Kozono and Y.Taniuchi, Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations, *Math. Z.*, **235**(2000), 173-194.
- [16] Ladyzhenskaya O, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flows*. New York: Gordon and Breach, 1969.
- [17] Lange H, The existence of instationary flows of incompressible micropolar fluids, *Arch. Mech.*, 1977, **29**:741-744.
- [18] Lemarié-Rieusset P G. *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*. London: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [19] Lions P L, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics*. New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 1996.
- [20] Lorentz G G, Some new functional spaces, *Ann. Math.*, **1**(1950), 37-55.
- [21] Miao C X. *Harmonic analysis and application to partial differential equations*. 2nd ed. Beijing: Science Press, 2004.
- [22] O'Neil R, Convolution Operators and $L_{p,q}$ Spaces, *Duke Math. J.*, **30**(1963), 129-142.
- [23] Prodi G, Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 1959, **48**(4):173-182.
- [24] Rojas-Medar M A, Boldrini J L, Magneto-micropolar fluid motion: existence of weak solutions. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 1998, **11**:443-460.
- [25] Serrin J, The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Nonlinear Problem (Proc. Sympos., Madison, Wis.)*, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wis., 1963, 69-98.
- [26] Stein E M, Weiss G, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. **Princeton Univ. Press**, 1971.
- [27] Struwe M, On a Serrin-type regularity criterion for the navier-Stokes equations in terms of the pressure, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 2007, **9**:235-242.
- [28] H. Beirão da Veiga, A new regularity class for the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 1995, **16**(4):407-412.
- [29] Villamizar-Roa E J, Rodríguez-Bellido M A. Global existence and exponential stability for the micropolar fluid system. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 2008, **59**:790-809.
- [30] Yamaguchi N, Existence of global strong solution to the micropolar fluid system in a bounded domain. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 2005, **28**: 1507-1526.
- [31] Yuan B Q, regularity of weak solutions to magneto-micropolar fluid equations. *to appear in Acta Mathematica Scientia*.
- [32] Yuan B Q, Zhang B, Blow-up criterion of strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces with negative indices. *J. Differential Equations*, 2007, **242**:1-10.
- [33] Zhou Y, Regularity criteria in terms of pressure fro the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations in a general domain. *Math. Ann.*, 2004, **328**:173-192.

- [34] Zhou Y, On regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2006, **134**:149-156.
- [35] Zhou Y, On a regularity criteria in terms of the gradient of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 2006, **57**:384-392.
- [36] Zhou Y, Remarks on regularities for the 3D MHD equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 2005, **12**:881-886.